



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,110	06/25/2004	Hiroshi Matsuoka	1034232-000011	7915
21839 7590 02/12/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				
EXAMINER				
MESH, GENNADIY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1796				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/12/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

debra.hawkins@bipc.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/500,110

Applicant(s)

MATSUOKA ET AL.

Examiner

GENNADIY MESH

Art Unit

1796

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/24/2007
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed on Jan 2, 2008 is acknowledged.

Rejection is maintained as it was set forth in previous Office Action mailed on October 3, 2007 but altered due to amendment. Claims 2 and 5 are canceled by Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1,4,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakanishi et al.(JP 2000-029247) in view of Hattori (US 5,665,510).

Nakanishi discloses composition for toner binder comprising, polyester resin contains structural units having polyester structure, structural units derived from styrene resin, structural units derived from epoxy group and structural units derived from polyisocyanate and structural unit derived from wax – see [0005],[0007],[0012], [0013] [0020] and [0023].

Nakanishi (JP 247) further discloses that polyester resin can be present in composition in the amount from 10wt.% to 90 wt.% (see [0018]), having Molecular weight in a range from 1000 to 20000 (see [0019]), hydroxyl value of less than 70 mgKOH/g(see [0010], acid number from 0 to 50 mgKOH/g and from 0.5 to about 2 mole equivalents of isocyanate groups for 1 mole of hydroxyl groups (see [0012]).

Nakanishi (JP 247) is silent regarding epoxy equivalent of epoxy group containing resin.

However, Hattori teach that toner binder resin (see lines 61 – 68, column 2) comprising polyester and polystyrene modified with epoxy group, wherein epoxy equivalent should be in range from 500 to 20,000 g/eq (see lines 65-67, column 3 and lines 1-9, column 4) and preferably in range from 1000 to 5000 g/eq in order to obtain pulverizable toner with optimized blocking properties.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary of skill to select polystyrene epoxy resin with epoxy equivalent taught by Hattori in order to obtain pulverizable composition for toner as it disclosed by Nakanishi (JP 247) with optimized blocking properties.

2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakanishi et al.(JP 2000-029247) in view of view of Hattori (US 5,665,510) as applied to claims 1,4,6 and 7 above, and further in view of Nakanishi et al.(US 6,992,150).

Nakanishi (JP 247) in view of Hattori disclose same basic composition for toner, but silent regarding Tg of polyester resin in binder composition.

However, Nakanishi (US 150) teach that polyester resin for binder toner should have Tg in a range from 30⁰ C to 80⁰ C in order to have necessary heat storage stability and low temperature fixing properties (see lines 45 – 52, column 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary of skill to select polyester resin with Tg in range from 30⁰ C to 80⁰ C per teaching of Nakanishi (US 150)

in order to obtain toner binder composition as it disclosed by Nakanishi (JP 247) in view of Hattori.

Regarding limitation related to Molecular weight see Nakanishi (US 150) lines 15 – 45,column.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3,4,6 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GENNADIY MESH whose telephone number is (571)272-2901. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 a.m. - 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272 1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gennadiy Mesh
Examiner
Art Unit 1796

GM

/VASUDEVAN S. JAGANNATHAN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796